



# **Negotiation Team Scoring Sheet**

Expert Assessor (your name):	
Team for the Requesting Party	
Team for the Responding Party	

#### **SPECIAL AWARDS NOMINATIONS –**

Criteria: (1) No of nominations (2) Scores – 10 being the highest (3) Remarks

# Best Role play of Character (Client in Preliminary Rounds) -

Score on Scale of 1 to 10 – Remarks –

# Best Mediation Advocacy (Counsel in Preliminary Rounds) –

Score on Scale of 1 to 10 – Remarks –

## <u>Best Team Work – Negotiation (Client & Counsel in Preliminary Rounds)</u>

Nominee – Score on Scale of 1 to 10 – Remarks –

### **Best Interaction with Mediator (Client & Counsel in Preliminary Rounds)**

Nominee – Score on Scale of 1 to 10 – Remarks -

Negotiation Team Scoring Sheet	Requesting Party					R	Responding Party				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Communication & Relay of Information (25 pts. max.)		I	1	I			ı				
Clear understanding & narration of facts of the case											
Effective information gathering											
Courteous presentation of opposing perspective											
Efficient use of listening skills and body language											
Appropriate tone and language											
Collaboration and Creating Options (25 pts. max.)											
Allowing scope for flexibility and creativity											
Actively collaborating without compromising on interests											
Generating mutually satisfying solutions											
Building relationship and trust											
Efforts to advancing the process towards resolution											
The home stretch (25 pts. max.)											
Using objective criteria in prioritizing interests		100	V.								
Reflecting interests in outcome		1									
Weighing up options if Negotiation fails		0.5	N								
Handling emotionally charged moments		-2:0									
Being respectful of others' interest		1	1								
fective Use of Caucus & Dealing With Impasse (25 pts. Max.)											
Strategic revealing of confidential information											
Apt use of mediator during Impasse (not during caucus)		1									
Productivity of caucus period	1										
Timing and reasoning for calling caucus	× 1	A 1	0.00								
Positive impact of caucus on immediate conference		V 1		2							
SUB TOTALS:											
Minus Points (If any) & Reason  Final Score (addition of all sub-totals)											
out of 100 points Circle higher score (= winning team)					]						
Scoring scale: 3 = Go											
1 = Poor 4 = Ve 2 = Satisfactory 5 = Ex					ıl, out						